

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/541,197	04/03/2000	Jerome S. Golden	MML-003	4910	
70813 GOODWIN P	7590 04/09/2008 POCTED LLD	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			CHENCINSKI, SIEGFRIED E		
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3691		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com bhenry@goodwinprocter.com patentdc@goodwinprocter.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/541,197	GOLDEN, JEROME S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3691	

	SIEGFRIED E. CHENCINSKI	3691						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a data of the final rejection							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.								
10) So The period on helpy expires on: (i) the maximg date or his advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth if the lintal rejection, whichever sate no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(26(a) and the engrapsist	o outonoion foo					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions fee the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b) are								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	ann are anno period del retar in er	or 11 11.07 (a).						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		to almost a subsectification of						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•	*	· ·					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment of the proposed amend		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 55-93.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filling a Nic	tion of Annaal will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/Narayanswamy Subramanian/								
Primary Examiner								
Art Unit 3691								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 13. Other: 1. There is no change in the status of the newly amended claims since Applicant has stated that the amendments were merely for the correction of minor informalities.

Upon reviewing Applicant's After-Final submission, made up solely of arguments, the arguments do not establish clear evidence of allowability as required by the MPEP.

The MPEP generally discourages the reopening of prosecution once prosecution is closed through the issuance of a final rejection. The MPEP has a relatively high bar for an examiner's withdrawal of the finality of prosecution once it is closed through final rejection, limiting such reopening of prosecution to clear evidence of allowability if such evidence were to come to the examiner in a timely manner upon the issuance of a final rejection, or in the case of the recognition of a serious legal error made in the final rejection. These guidelines are explained by MPEP 706.07(e) Withdrawal of Final Rejection, General. 'See MPEP § 714.12 and § 714.13 for amendments after final rejection. Although it is permissible to withdraw a final rejection for the purpose of entering a new ground or fection, this practice is to be limited to situations where a new reference either fully meets at least one claim or meets it except for differences which are shown to be completely obvious".